



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**DOCKET CLERK
P.O. DRAWER 800889
DALLAS TX 75380**

MAILED

JUN 22 2010

In re Application of:	:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Randy Burnworth et al.	:	
Application No. 10/665,930	:	PETITION DECISION
Filed: September 19, 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No.: TAYL08-00002	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petitions under 37 CFR 1.48(c) and 37 CFR 1.47(a) filed May 24, 2010, which are being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(c) and 37 CFR 1.183 to waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.64.

The petitions are **GRANTED**.

37 CFR 1.48(c), inventors added for claims to previously unclaimed subject matter requires:

- (1) A request to correct the inventorship that sets forth the desired inventorship change;
- (2) A statement from each person being added as an inventor that the addition is necessitated by amendment of the claims and that the inventorship error occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part;
- (3) An oath or declaration by the actual inventors as required by § 1.63 or as permitted by §§ 1.42, 1.43, or § 1.47;
- (4) The processing fee set forth in § 1.17(i); and
- (5) If an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignee (see § 3.73(b) of this chapter).

Petitioner requests that the inventorship of the instant application be corrected by adding Karol Freed as a co-inventor. The originally named inventor, Randy Burnworth, who signed the originally filed declaration, is allegedly refusing to sign the replacement declaration listing both Burnworth and Freed as co-inventors. Petitions under 37 CFR 1.47 are only applicable to the initial execution of an original oath or declaration and are not applicable to supplemental oaths or declarations by the originally signing inventor. In such circumstances, the USPTO will consider a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 requesting waiver or the requirements of 37 CFR 1.64 that each of the actual inventors execute the supplemental oath or declaration.

MPEP 603 states in part:

When an inventor who executed the original declaration is refusing or cannot be found to execute a required supplemental declaration, the requirement for that inventor to sign the supplemental declaration may be suspended or waived in accordance with 37 CFR 1.183. All available joint inventor(s) must sign the supplemental declaration on behalf of themselves, if appropriate, and on behalf of the nonsigning inventor. See MPEP § 409.03(a).

In discussing waiver requirements under 37 CFR 1.183, the Office is guided by proof similar to that required when an applicant is unavailable or refuses to sign. Petitioner indicates that Burnworth refuses to sign the replacement declaration.

MPEP 409.03(d) (II) states in part:

Where a refusal of the inventor to sign the application papers is alleged, the circumstances of the presentation of the application papers and of the refusal must be specified in a statement of facts by the person who presented the inventor with the application papers and/or to whom the refusal was made. Statements by a party not present when an oral refusal is made will not be accepted.

Proof that a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the nonsigning inventor for signature, but the inventor refused to accept delivery of the papers or expressly stated that the application papers should not be sent, may be sufficient.

The renewed petition provides evidence that non-signing inventor Burnworth cannot be located or refuses to sign the replacement declaration.

The petition dismissal mailed April 6, 2010 indicated that the consent of the assignee was not acceptable as it did not include the reel and frame number for the assignment of Karol Freed to Shomi Technologies. The renewed petition includes a copy of the same consent of the assignee as presented in the previous petition. However, a statement from petitioner does identify the assignment reel and frame number of Karol Freed's assignment to Shomi Technologies and the consent of the assignee is now acceptable.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Data Management for further processing as an allowed application.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to Carl Friedman at (571) 272-6842.



David Buccia
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions